10/501945

PATENT COOPERATION TREAT

PCT

REC'D 2 4 SEP 2003

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION PORT PCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 10517PC2-ADC	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416).					
International Application No.	International Filing Data (day/month/year)	te .	Priority Date (day/month/year)				
PCT/AU03/00077	24 January 2003	•	25 January 2002				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification an	d IPC					
Int. Cl. ⁷ G06F 17/40, 17/60, 19/00							
Applicant TRITRONICS (AUSTRALIA) PTY LTD et al							
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3							
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
These annexes consist of a total	of sheet(s).						
3. This report contains indications relating	g to the following items:						
I X Basis of the report							
II Priority	Priority						
III Non-establishment of op	pinion with regard to nov	elty, inventive step a	and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of invention	Lack of unity of invention						
V X Reasoned statement und citations and explanatio	V X Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
VI Certain documents cited	I Certain documents cited						
VII Certain defects in the in	ternational application						
VIII Certain observations on	the international applica	ation					
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of the report							
19 August 2003		8 September 2003					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU		Authorized Officer					
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		CATHERINE R					
		Telephone No. (02) 6283 2811					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU03/00077

I.	Basis of the repor					
1.	With regard to the elen	regard to the elements of the international application:*				
	X the international	application as originally mod.				
	the description,					
		pages, filed with the demand,				
		pages, received on with the letter of	ı			
•	the claims,	pages , as originally filed,				
٠		pages, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19,	İ			
•	•	pages, filed with the demand,	l			
		pages, received on with the letter of				
	the drawings,	pages , as originally filed,				
		pages , filed with the demand, pages , received on with the letter of				
	[the segmence lies	ting part of the description:				
	the sequence is	pages , as originally filed				
		pages, filed with the demand				
		pages, received on with the letter of	١			
_	777'd	guage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in	١			
2.		d application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under uns nom.	١			
	Those elements were	available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:				
		a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
	and/or 55.3).	the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2				
3.	the angles arise and sequence disclosed in the international application, the international					
]	preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence fishing.					
		e international application in written form.	١			
	filed together v	with the international application in computer readable form.				
	furnished subs	equently to this Authority in written form.				
	furnished subs	equently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
	international a	that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the pplication as filed has been furnished.				
	The statement been furnished	that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has				
4	. The amendme	nts have resulted in the cancellation of:				
	the de	escription, pages				
	the cl	aims, Nos.				
	the d	rawings, sheets/fig.				
5	go beyond the	s been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**				
*	* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).					
.	** Any replacement sh	neet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU03/00077

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement
----	-----------

14114		
Novelty (N)	Claims 1 - 25	YES
•	Claims	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1 - 25	YES
	Claims	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1 - 25	YES
	Claims	NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Citations:

- a) DE 19860248
- b) US 5821860
- c) US 5465079

Novelty (N) and Inventive Step (IS):

None of the listed citations dislose or even suggest the invention as claimed. These citations disclose methods a assessing driver performance but do not create a performance indicator distibution from the measured parameters and do not create a performance indicator. The citations disclose methods designed for real time use rather than to gauge the performance of the operator over time. The invention as claimed, therefore, must be considered novel and inventive in light of the above documents.